



Acalabrutinib for adults with mantle cell lymphoma

Wojciech Jurczak, Monika Długosz-Danecka & Michael Wang

To cite this article: Wojciech Jurczak, Monika Długosz-Danecka & Michael Wang (2019) Acalabrutinib for adults with mantle cell lymphoma, Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology, 12:3, 179-187, DOI: [10.1080/17512433.2019.1568868](https://doi.org/10.1080/17512433.2019.1568868)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512433.2019.1568868>



Accepted author version posted online: 14 Jan 2019.
Published online: 26 Jan 2019.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 49




View Crossmark data [↗](#)

DRUG PROFILE



Acalabrutinib for adults with mantle cell lymphoma

Wojciech Jurczak^a, Monika Długosz-Danecka ^a and Michael Wang^b

^aDepartment of Hematology, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland; ^bDepartment of Lymphoma and Myeloma, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Although advances in mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) therapy have improved overall survival (OS), managing relapsed/refractory (R/R) cases remains a great challenge. Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitors have broadened therapeutic options in MCL and became the backbone of second-line strategies.

Areas covered: Ibrutinib, the first-in-class BTK inhibitor registered for MCL therapy, is efficient, with clear benefits of its use. However, ibrutinib-related adverse events due to off-target inhibition of other kinases led to the development of more selective molecules with comparable efficacy and better safety profiles.

Expert commentary: Acalabrutinib, a new BTK inhibitor, currently being evaluated in numerous clinical studies is approved by FDA in relapsing/refractory MCL. Its role will evolve over the next few years. Efficacy and good tolerability of acalabrutinib gives even greater opportunity for potential upfront use and new therapeutic combinations, including monoclonal antibodies, antibody-drug conjugates, immune checkpoint inhibitors, bcl-2 (B-cell lymphoma-2) or IP3K (phosphoinositide 3-kinase) inhibitors.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 13 October 2018
Accepted 9 January 2019

KEYWORDS

Acalabrutinib; adverse events; Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors; mantle cell lymphoma

1. Overview of the market

Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is an aggressive B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) and the patients have a poor prognosis. Despite the features of indolent lymphoma at presentation and relatively good initial response to treatment, most patients relapse with lymphoma clone, refractory to subsequent chemotherapy regimens [1]. The better the response to the first-line therapy, the later the relapse, therefore immunochemotherapy followed by consolidation and maintenance strategies remain the current standard of care.

The majority of patients are elderly, with a median age of 68 years. They are usually treated with anthracycline- or bendamustine-based regimens: rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (R-CHOP) or rituximab and bendamustine (BR) [2–4]. Rituximab maintenance proved to increase both progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS), especially in R-CHOP treated patients. It seemed to be less effective due to adverse reactions after BR induction therapy [4].

In younger, fit patients, intensive front-line immunochemotherapy with rituximab (R) plus high-dose cytarabine (R-HAD) followed by autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) demonstrates a higher response rate, significantly better overall survival and median time to treatment failure [5–8]. The three most commonly used regimens include R-CHOP/R-DHAP [dexamethasone, high-dose cytarabine and cisplatin, based on European Mantle Cell Lymphoma Network (EMCLN) experience] [6]; the R-maxiCHOP/R-HAD protocol, described by the Nordic Lymphoma Group [7,8]; and hyper fractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin and dexamethasone

alternating with high-dose methotrexate/cytarabine (R-HCVAD/R-MA), developed at the MD Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC) [9]. After the results of the *LyMA* trial, rituximab maintenance is also indicated in all patients who have undergone ASCT [10].

Targeted approaches, like Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitors and immune-modifying drugs (IMiDs), are the backbone of therapy in relapsing/refractory patients. Based on the results of phase 2 clinical trial demonstrating a median PFS of approximately 6 months, the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib received, as first targeted molecule in MCL, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval [11]. A multicenter phase 3 study demonstrated the superiority of temsirolimus compared to investigator's choice, with a median PFS of 4.8 months, leading to its approval by the European Medicine Agency (EMA) [12]. The activity of lenalidomide in patients who progressed on bortezomib-therapy was confirmed in the phase 2 EMERGE trial, with a durable efficacy with overall response rate (ORR) of 28% in a heavily pre-treated population, leading to lenalidomide approval by FDA in MCL settings [13]. In the SPRINT trial conducted by the EMCLN, the efficacy of lenalidomide was confirmed with a median PFS of 8.7 months compared to 5.2 months in the control population [14]. Elderly individuals may achieve prolonged responses and good quality of life, while in younger patients, they may be an ideal bridging to allogeneic stem cell transplant.

BTK is a kinase enzyme and a key regulator in the B-cell receptor (BCR) signaling pathway that is critical for the activation, proliferation, and survival of B-cell malignancies [15,16].

The BTK gene is located on the X chromosome, and its mutation was initially described in X-linked agammaglobulinemia by Ogden Bruton [17,18]. Bruton tyrosine kinase phosphorylation, necessary for its activity, may be prevented by BTK inhibitors [19]. Ibrutinib – the first-in-class, irreversible BTK inhibitor – is an orally bioavailable small-molecule forming a stable covalent bond with cysteine (cys-481). It showed high efficacy in relapsed/refractory (R/R) MCL [20]. In addition to BTK inhibition, ibrutinib targets several other kinases, including interleukin-2-inducible T-cell kinase (ITK), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), T-cell X-chromosome kinase (TXK) and tyrosine kinase expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma (TEC) [19], which may contribute to some of its reported toxicities [21]. To limit off-target kinase inhibition related adverse effects, such as atrial fibrillation, bleeding, rashes, diarrhea, nausea, arthralgia, myalgia, pneumonitis and subdural hematoma [22–25] and improve the safety profile, new BTK inhibitor with enhanced selectivity profile are being investigated. This is a key concept that has contributed to the development of more selective BTK inhibitors. Acalabrutinib is a BTK inhibitor of an enhanced selectivity, extensively studied and already registered by the FDA in relapsing/refractory MCL [26]. Other more selective BTK inhibitors such as zanubrutinib (BGB-3111), tirabrutinib (ONO/GS-4059), vecabrutinib (SNS-062), spebrutinib (CC-292, AVL-292), ARQ-531 and M7583 are also being investigated in the treatment of MCL. Acalabrutinib remains so far the only second-generation BTK inhibitor approved for R/R MCL cases (after at least one prior therapy).

Zanubrutinib (BGB-3111) – a new BTK inhibitor with higher oral bioavailability and better selectivity than ibrutinib for BTK vs. a panel of kinases including ITK [27]. Zanubrutinib inhibits BTK activity and BCR-dependent responses (BTK auto-phosphorylation and downstream PLC γ 2 signaling in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and MCL cell lines [28]. In addition, its anti-proliferative efficacy and induction of apoptosis in MCL model mouse cells have been demonstrated in lower doses than ibrutinib (2.5 mg/kg twice weekly vs. 50 mg/kg once daily). The initial studies (NCT03189524, NCT03206970) confirmed its good tolerance and clinical activity. Zanubrutinib, being a more selective BTK kinase inhibitor compared to ibrutinib, was only very occasionally responsible for atrial fibrillation or bleeding episodes [29]. The molecule is currently being investigated in phase 3 study in Waldenström's macroglobulinemia (WM) (NCT03053440). Results of the phase 2 trial in R/R MCL are expected in late 2019 (NCT03206970).

Tirabrutinib (ONO/GS-4059) – this irreversible molecule with an improved selectivity showed activity in patients with R/R B-cell lymphoma, particularly in CLL (where it confirmed its efficacy in 92% of the evaluable patients) and activated B cell subtype of diffuse large B cell lymphoma (ABC DLBCL) [27,30].

Vecabrutinib (SNS-062) – a reversible BTK inhibitor with higher exposures and continuous drug level, good oral bioavailability and tolerance in dogs and rats [31]. SNS-062 is being investigated in a phase 1/2 study in B-cell lymphoma (NCT03037645).

Spebrutinib (CC-292, AVL-292) – a covalent, irreversible, orally bioavailable BTK inhibitor with increased specificity for

BTK and less inhibition of other kinases, effective in disrupting BCR signaling and inhibiting tumor cells activation, proliferation, and chemotaxis [32,33]. It is able to overcome microenvironment-mediated chemoresistance and normalize immune cell composition. Its activity was confirmed *in vitro* and *in vivo* on chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) cells with a promising performance in combination with bendamustine [34].

ARQ-531 – a reversible BTK inhibitor, with proven BCR-induced responses on CLL cells [35] is being investigated in a phase 1 study in hematological malignancies (NCT03162536).

M7583 – a highly selective irreversible molecule binding to BTK. Its safety, pharmacokinetics (PK), pharmacodynamics (PD) and antitumor activity is being investigated in a phase 1/2 study in R/R B-cell malignancies including MCL (NCT02825836) [36].

It is likely that only highly selective first generation and second generation BTK inhibitors will be eventually developed. So far, only acalabrutinib and zanubrutinib were investigated in phase 3 protocols; therefore, they are the most likely candidates.

2. Introduction to the drug

The best-known, more selective BTK inhibitor is **acalabrutinib (ACP-196)**, a novel molecule, dosed orally 100 mg twice per day, about 12 hours apart, designed to be more potent and selective than ibrutinib and minimize off-target [37]. Key structural components of acalabrutinib include a 2-pyridylbenzamide moiety and the electrophilic 2-butyrylamide moiety that is involved in covalent and irreversible binding to a cysteine residue (Cys481) in the adenosine triphosphate (ATP) pocket. In contrast to ibrutinib, its butyrylamide-based binding motif has a reduced intrinsic reactivity and does not inhibit ITK and EGFR kinases, with improved fold selectivity of TEC and TXK inhibition versus BTK compared to ibrutinib. It has, therefore, an improved selectivity and comparable *in vivo* target coverage [21,37,38]. The correlation of biochemical off-target inhibition and its functional consequences in selected cell lines was confirmed for different kinases [21,37,38]. The IC₅₀ value (half maximal inhibitory concentration – the concentration of a drug that is required for 50% inhibition *in vitro*) for acalabrutinib against purified BTK is 5.1 nM compared with 1.5 nM for ibrutinib [37,39]. The degree of inhibition of BCR-induced responses, like phosphorylation BTK and other kinases of ERK (extracellular signal-regulated kinases) pathway is also similar for these molecules in primary CLL cells [39,40]. The data provided from several preclinical studies with animal models of B-cell lymphoma NHL evaluating safety and efficacy moved acalabrutinib into human trials. In a study of the canine model (dogs with confirmed diagnosis of new or relapsed B-cell lymphoma, stage ≥ 2) acalabrutinib showed biologic activity as a single, oral agent [3/12 have achieved partial remission (PR), 3/12 stable disease (SD), 6/12 progressive disease (PD)] [41]. Acalabrutinib *in vivo* demonstrated its efficacy against NOD scid gamma (NSG) mouse model with xenografts of human CLL cells. It significantly inhibited proliferation of human CLL cells in the mouse spleen at all dose levels and reduced phosphorylation of phospholipase- γ 2 (PLC γ 2) leading to a transient increase of CLL cell counts in peripheral blood and subsequent reduction of tumor burden [42]. *In vivo*, in a CLL xenograft model in NSG

mice treated with various doses of acalabrutinib (0.006, 0.06, 0.15, or 0.3 mg/mL) or vehicle alone in the drinking water, acalabrutinib inhibited tumor proliferation as measured by Ki67 expression in CLL cells from the spleen of the mice with a mean decrease in Ki67 of 58%, 70%, and 73% at 0.006 mg/mL, 0.06 mg/mL, and 0.15 mg/mL acalabrutinib, respectively, compared to vehicle [38].

Acalabrutinib was also tested in dose-escalation studies in healthy adult volunteers, to assess safety, PK and PD (ACE-HV-001) [37]. Pharmacokinetic analysis confirmed that plasma concentration of acalabrutinib is more stable and less dependent on meals and lymphoma subtype compared to ibrutinib. In healthy volunteers, acalabrutinib is completely absorbed regardless of the timing of the meals. It achieves the maximum plasma concentration [T_{max}] in 0.5–1.0 hours, and has a short half-life (time required for elimination of 50% of the drug from plasma [$T_{1/2}$] of 0.88–2.1 h) [21,37]. Acalabrutinib 100 mg twice daily in patients with CLL resulted in a maximum plasma concentration [C_{max}] of 827 ng/ml, an area under a plasma concentration/time curve from 0 to 24 h [$AUC_{0-24\ h}$] of 1850 hng/ml and a mean terminal half-life of 1.13 h [21]. Ibrutinib absorption is more sensitive to meals – in CLL patients, differences between fasting and post-meal dosing were substantial in healthy volunteers (average C_{max} of 52 and 120 ng/ml, $AUC_{0-24\ h}$ 485 and 864 h · ng/ml, and a $T_{1/2}$ of 11 and 4.5 h, respectively, [43]). Acalabrutinib reaches a full target occupancy at both 3 and 12 h after a single 100 mg dose, which correlates with nearly complete inhibition of BCR-induced functional B cell response (i.e. CD69 expression) [37]. The short half-life of acalabrutinib lowers the risk of accumulation in the blood. Twice-daily dosing, about 12 hours apart, maintains complete and continuous BTK inhibition across the 24-h dosing interval. Moreover, the twice-daily dosing of 100 mg had led to higher median BTK occupancy comparing to 200 mg once per day, with lower variability compared with once-daily dosing (6.5% vs 16.4%, respectively), maintain adequate high target coverage over each dose interval [37,44].

A greater specificity of acalabrutinib and reduced inhibition of off-target kinases may be related to reduced number of adverse events [37]. Cardiotoxicity, including episodes of atrial fibrillation, are very rarely reported. Furthermore, in an *in vivo* thrombus formation model, blood platelets from patients treated with acalabrutinib had similar reactivity to platelets from healthy volunteers, whereas blood platelets from patients receiving ibrutinib showed diminished aggregation [21,26].

3. Clinical efficacy of acalabrutinib

In order to find the optimal acalabrutinib dose and assess safety, efficacy, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, a phase 1–2 multicenter trial was conducted in CLL, small lymphocytic leukemia (SLL), Richter's transformation, prolymphocytic leukemia (PLL) (ACE-CL-001). In a typical dose-escalation protocol, 61 R/R CLL/SLL patients were evaluated [21]. The acalabrutinib dose, initially increased from 100 to 400 mg daily, was eventually set at 100 mg bidaily, which allows for nearly complete BTK occupancy over 24 hours [21]. In the updated analysis of ACE-CL-001 study, 134 R/R CLL/SLL patients were evaluated; with a median follow-up of

19.8 months acalabrutinib, as a single agent, demonstrated high response rates and durable remissions with ORR rate 93%, including 2% of CR, 83% of PR and 8% of partial remissions with lymphocytosis (PRL) [45]. Response rates were consistent across high-risk subgroups with del 17p (85%), 11q deletion (del 11q) (86%) and unmutated IGHV (88%) [45]. The median PFS was not reached, and the 18-month PFS rate was 88% (95% CI, 81%–93%). With the immature data we have, it is not possible to compare PFS in R/R CLL patients with previous ibrutinib studies; the objective analysis will be possible after obtaining the results from the ACE-CL-006 study (NCT02477696).

BTK inhibitors demonstrate exceptional activity in MCL. The trials conducted in patients with R/R MCL were the first step of ibrutinib and acalabrutinib registration. Both trials: PCYC-1104-CA (NCT01236391) [46] and ACE-LY-004 (NCT02213926) [47] had identical inclusion/exclusion criteria and numbers of participating patients. The subsequent papers [46,47] papers became milestones, setting the new standards of care. Positive results from an open-label phase 2 trial ACE-LY-004 led to accelerated approval by FDA in 2017 [47]. Both studies explored the potential role of monotherapy with BTK inhibitors in MCL, after the failure of the previous 1–5 lines of therapy (Table 1).

(*) ORR defined as the proportion of participants who achieved a best overall response of CR or PR, according to the revised International Working Group Criteria for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma [48] in the PCYC-1104-CA trial and according to the 2014 Lugano classification [49] in the ACE-LY-004 trial

AE – adverse event, ASCT – autologous stem cell transplantation, bd – twice a day, CR – complete remission, DOR – duration of response, ECOG – Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, MCL – mantle cell lymphoma, MIPI – Mantle Cell Lymphoma International Prognostic Index, ORR – overall response rate, OS – overall survival, qd – once a day, PFS – progression-free survival

The patient cohorts were not entirely comparable – in the ibrutinib trial there were more patients with refractory disease (45% vs. 24%); with intermediate or high MIPI (86% vs. 60%); and treated later in the disease course (median number of previous therapies 3 vs. 2). The adverse prognostic role of high MIPI and refractory disease is well proven. BTK inhibitors are probably more effective if they are used earlier in the course of the disease. In a later subgroup analysis of the PCYC-1104-CA trial [50], patients treated with ibrutinib in the second line had 82% ORR with 27% complete remissions (CR), and median DOR, PFS and OS 16.5, 17.5 and 21.8 months, respectively. Responses in the acalabrutinib trial occurred earlier than in the ibrutinib trial (median time to CR 3.4 vs. 5.5 months) and were possibly deeper (CR 40% vs. 21%); however, they were assessed according to the 2014 Lugano classification, updated Cheson criteria. Positron emission tomography (PET) scan may allow for the earlier CR detection, i.e. in patients with fibrosis, inactive lymph nodes, still assessed as PR in computed tomography (CT); therefore, an objective comparison of treatment effectiveness using two different methods is not possible. Very poor results of patients who became refractory to BTK inhibitors [51] make PFS a good surrogate for OS. The shape of the DOR and PFS curves indicate that the results of the ACE-LY-004 trial are

Table 1. BTK inhibitors monotherapy trials in R/R MCL – differences despite similar inclusion/exclusion criteria.

| | PCYC-1104-CA (NCT01236391) | ACE-LY-004 (NCT02213926) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Study Name | Safety and Efficacy of PCI-32765 in Participants with Relapsed/Refractory MCL | An Open-label, Phase 2 Study of ACP-196 in Subjects with MCL |
| Study Timeline (Start – primary completion date) | February 2011 – January 2014 | March 2015 – February 2017 |
| Inclusion Criteria: | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Men and women ≥18 years of age. • Pathologically confirmed MCL, with documentation of monoclonal B cells that have a chromosome translocation t(11;14)(q13;q32) and/or overexpress cyclin D1 and measurable disease on cross-sectional imaging that is ≥2 cm in the longest diameter and measurable in two perpendicular dimensions. • Relapsed/refractory after at least 1, but no more than 5, prior treatment regimens for MCL • Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of ≤2. | |
| Dose | Ibrutinib – 560 mg daily (qd) | Acalabrutinib – 100 mg twice a day (bd) |
| Number of patients, median age | 115, median age 68 | 124, median age 68 |
| Number of previous therapies | 3 (1–5) | 2 (1–5) |
| Previous ASCT | 11% | 18% |
| % of patients with intermediate-risk or high-risk according to MIPI | 86% | 60% |
| Refractory disease | 45% | 24% |
| Bulk > 5 and > 10 cm | 39% and 8% respectively | 37% and 8% respectively |
| Primary endpoint – ORR (*): | ORR – 68% CR – 21% PR – 47% | ORR – 81% CR – 40% PR – 41% |
| Median time to initial response (months) | 1.9 (range 1.4–13.7) | 1.9 (range 1.5–4.4) |
| Median time to CR (months) | 5.5 (range 1.7–24.7) | 3.4 (range 1.9–5.5) |
| Duration of Response (DOR) | median – 17.5 months | 72% at 12 months |
| Progression Free Survival (PFS) | median – 13.9 months | 67% at 12 months |
| Overall Survival (OS) | 58% at 18 months | 87 at 12 months |
| Hematological AE: any grade/3–4 grade (%): | | |
| Neutropenia | 17/16 | 14/14 |
| Anemia | 11/10 | 15/11 |
| Thrombocytopenia | 13/11 | <5% |
| Most Common AE any grade/grade 3–4 (%): | 0 | 38/2 |
| Headache | 53/6 | 31/3 |
| Diarrhea | 49/5 | 27/1 |
| Fatigue | 17/0 | 21/1 |
| Myalgia | 33/1 | 18/1 |
| Nausea | | |
| AE of special interest any grade/grade 3–4 (%): | | |
| Pneumonia | 7/6 | 7/6 |
| Atrial fibrillation | 7/6 | 0/0 |
| Bleeding events | 41/6 | 31/1 |
| Patients discontinuing therapy due to AE (%) | 11 | 6 |

probably superior, however even that does not allow to conclude that acalabrutinib is a better drug, as the comparison was not a direct one, and patient cohorts were in some respects different.

Acalabrutinib safety and efficacy as monotherapy or in combination therapy has been investigated in patients with hematological malignancies (Table 2).

ABC – activated B-cell, CLL-chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL – diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, FL – follicular lymphoma, HL-Hodgkin lymphoma; MALT – mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue, MCL-mantle cell lymphoma; MM-multiple myeloma; MZL – marginal zone lymphoma, NHL-non-Hodgkin lymphoma; PLL-prolymphocytic leukemia; RS-Richter syndrome; SLL-small lymphocytic lymphoma; WM, Waldenström's macroglobulinemia.

Currently, there are many ongoing preclinical studies evaluating the combinations of acalabrutinib with other drugs: chemoimmunotherapy (BR), phosphoinositide-3 kinase (PI3K) inhibitor ACP-319 [52,53], B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL-2) inhibitors [54]. In clinical studies, the combination of acalabrutinib with programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) inhibitors (checkpoint inhibitors) is also investigated in solid tumors [55] and with venetoclax and obinutuzumab in treatment-naive CLL patients (the phase-II trial, NCT03580928). Combining ibrutinib with venetoclax in 24

MCL patients in phase 2 trial resulted in over 60% potentially durable complete responses with confirmed ongoing responses at 15 months in 78% of the patients [56]; ibrutinib in combination with venetoclax is currently evaluated in phase III study initiated in R/R MCL (NCT03112174), where patients are randomized to ibrutinib alone or in combination with venetoclax.

Developing even more efficient regimens containing acalabrutinib may be particularly useful as bridging to allogeneic stem-cell transplant in younger patients with R/R MCL.

Whether BTK inhibitors will have any role in the first-line therapy of MCL remains an unanswered question. Although it is nearly certain that adding them to immunochemotherapy regimens will increase the response rate and prolong PFS, the fate of the patients, once they become refractory, would be probably bad. Therefore, to prolong OS, one would most likely need the first-line BTK-inhibitor-containing regimen to be better than two lines of therapy: the current first-line standard of care and subsequent BTK inhibitor monotherapy. The first attempt was done with ibrutinib (SHINE trial, NCT01776840), where 523 elderly patients, not eligible for intensive therapy, were randomized to BR + rituximab maintenance vs. BR-ibrutinib + rituximab-ibrutinib maintenance. The recruitment was completed over 3 years ago, but the analysis has been

Table 2. Clinical trials of acalabrutinib for hematological malignancies.

| Study ID | Agent | Condition | Phase |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------|
| PHASE 1-2 | | | |
| NCT02029443 (ACE-CL-001) | Acalabrutinib | R/R or treatment- naïve CLL, SLL, PLL, RS | 1 |
| NCT02112526 (ACE-LY-002) | Acalabrutinib | R/R de novo ABC DLBCL | 1 |
| NCT02296918 (ACE-CL-003) | Acalabrutinib +obinutuzumab | R/R or treatment- naïve CLL, SLL, PLL | 1b |
| NCT02717624 (ACE-LY-106) | Acalabrutinib+bendamustine+ rituximab | R/R or treatment- naïve MCL | 1 |
| NCT03527147 (PRISM) | Acalabrutinib + AZD9150 vs acalabrutinib + AZD6738 | R/R DLBCL | 1 |
| NCT02157324 (ACE-CL-002) | Acalabrutinib + ACP-319 | R/R CLL | 1/2 |
| NCT02328014 (ACE-LY-001) | Acalabrutinib + ACP-319 | Treatment-naïve and R/R B-cell malignancies | 1/2 |
| NCT02362035 (ACE-LY-005) | Acalabrutinib+pembrolizumab | NHL, MM, HL, CLL, RS, WM | 1/2 |
| NCT03328273 (ACE-CL-110) | AZD6738 vs acalabrutinib+ AZD6738 | R/R CLL | 1/2 |
| NCT02180711 (ACE-LY-003) | Acalabrutinib vs acalabrutinib+rituximab | Treatment-naïve or R/R FL, MALT, MZL | 1/2 |
| NCT03571308 ACCEPT | RCHOP + acalabrutinib | Treatment-naïve DLBCL | 1b/2 |
| NCT02213926 (ACE-LY-004) | Acalabrutinib | R/R MCL | 2 |
| NCT02717611 (ACE-CL-208) | Acalabrutinib | R/R CLL (ibrutinib intolerant) | 2 |
| NCT02337829 (15-H-0016) | Acalabrutinib | R/R or treatment- naïve del(17p) CLL, SLL | 2 |
| NCT03580928 | Acalabrutinib+venetoclax+obinutuzumab | Treatment-naïve CLL | 2 |
| NCT02180724 (ACE-WM-001) | Acalabrutinib | Treatment-naïve or R/R WM | 2 |
| PHASE 3 | | | |
| NCT02477696 (ACE-CL-006) | Acalabrutinib vs Ibrutiib | R/R CLL | 3 |
| NCT02475681 (ACE-CL-007) | Obinutuzumab + chlorambucil versus Acalabrutinib + obinutuzumab versus Acalabrutinib | Treatment- naïve CLL | 3 |
| NCT02972840 (ACE-LY-308) | Bendamustine+ rituximab vs acalabrutinib+ bendamustine+ rituximab | Treatment- naïve MCL | 3 |
| NCT02970318 (ACE-CL-309) | Acalabrutinib vs investigator's choice of idelalisib+ rituximab or bendamustine+ rituximab | R/R CLL | 3 |

postponed, as there are not enough events reported yet. This may paradoxically be good news for BTK inhibitors, as the smaller-than-estimated number of events may be due to the exceptional results of ibrutinib-treated patients. An identical randomized trial (ACE-LY-308, NCT02972840) is currently ongoing with acalabrutinib. The preliminary results of the phase 2 protocol (ACE-LY-106, NCT02717624) will be presented at the ASH 2018 annual conference. In the younger population, where intermediate-dose cytarabine and consolidation with high-dose therapy supported by stem-cell transplant is the current standard, the potential role of ibrutinib is being investigated in the EMCLN TRIANGLE trial (NCT02858258). The original idea to include ibrutinib throughout the whole induction regimen had to be altered due to cytopenias after ibrutinib-R-DHAP therapy – in the current version of the protocol, it is given intermittently, only with R-CHOP.

4. Post-marketing surveillance

An effective treatment for R/R MCL is a real unmet medical need. The major drawback of BTK trials in R/R MCL is the

difficulty in finding a good comparator for the phase 3 trials, due to the lack of therapies regarded as a standard of care in this clinical situation. Ibrutinib efficacy was confirmed in a randomized comparison to temsirolimus [57]. The difference was so striking (median PFS 14.6 vs. 6.2 months) that it made any further BTK inhibitor comparisons with temsirolimus unethical. In the lenalidomide registration trial in R/R MCL, its efficacy was compared with the investigator's choice [14].

In acalabrutinib accelerated registration, FDA underlined the necessity of a long time analysis of safety issues and adverse reactions. Acalabrutinib is more potent *in vivo* than ibrutinib with fewer off-target effects. The first encouraging safety profile of acalabrutinib was reported after updated analysis of ACE-CL-001 study assessing 134 patients with R/R CLL, with headache, diarrhea, upper respiratory tract infection and fatigue being the most common adverse events [45]. There were no episodes of major hemorrhages and only 3% atrial fibrillation (2% grade≥3) [45], regarded in ibrutinib patients as AEs of special interest. In a comparable ibrutinib CLL trial AEs were more common [23]. Although patients with significant cardiovascular diseases or electrocardiogram abnormalities were excluded from both trials, after

a relatively short follow-up period of 9.4 months, episodes of AF were observed in 5% of the ibrutinib treated patients (10/195), including 6 (3%) with grade ≥ 3 [23]. Similarly, in treatment-naïve CLL patients with no significant cardiovascular disease, AF episodes were not recorded during acalabrutinib treatment [58], while 6% (8/136) receiving ibrutinib developed AF at the median follow-up time of 17.4 months [59]. No major hemorrhages (CTCAE 3–4) have been reported during acalabrutinib therapy in both described studies, except one episode of bleeding from gastric ulcer related to concomitant aspirin usage [21,58]. In ibrutinib trials, 1–5% of the patients, have experienced grade 3 or higher bleeding complications [23,59]. In 33 CLL/SLL patients who discontinued ibrutinib due to drug-related AEs, no serious bleeding episodes were reported after switching to acalabrutinib therapy, even in the six patients who had CTCAE 3–4 hemorrhagic complications while on ibrutinib [60]. These data are in line with higher *in vivo* selectivity of acalabrutinib for inhibiting BTK with no inhibition of platelet activity.

In CLL studies diarrhea and fatigue have been more often reported during ibrutinib [22,23,61] than acalabrutinib treatment [21,58]. Headache is the only common adverse reaction literally characteristic for acalabrutinib (42–43%) [21,58]; all other AEs are less common and less severe (Table 1). Most of the headaches were of mild severity, CTCAE grade 1–2 and occurred only during the first weeks of therapy, resolving over time, not causing treatment discontinuation [21,58]. Safety analysis comparison of acalabrutinib and ibrutinib in R/R MCL is summarized in Table 1. The most common ($\geq 20\%$) AEs in the Wang et al. analysis were headache (38%), diarrhea (31%), fatigue (27%) and myalgia (21%) with no major hemorrhages nor AF.

The incidence of infections in patients treated with BTK inhibitors is increased, due to the underlying lymphoproliferative disease and effective elimination of B lymphocytes. In the ibrutinib MCL trial, upper respiratory tract infections were observed in 25/111 (23%) of patients and the most common serious infection of grade 3–5 was pneumonia recorded in 6% of the patients [39]. During acalabrutinib treatment in R/R MCL patients, pneumonia occurred in 7/124 (6%) of patients, but only in grade 2–3 [40]. The longer follow-up will provide more information about the safety profile of acalabrutinib in MCL settings.

Comparing the two registered BTK inhibitors, one should finally raise the question of adverse events, particularly those leading to therapy discontinuation. Acalabrutinib was less frequently discontinued due to its AEs. Although the difference between the two MCL trials seems small (6 vs. 11%), in a recent meta-analysis [62], discontinuation of ibrutinib was reported to be 12%, with a further 6% of the necessary dose reductions. In a long time, real-life experience ibrutinib was discontinued due to toxicity even in 21% of the patients [63]. Long term analysis of acalabrutinib is lacking, although the discontinuation rate is supposed to be much smaller. The causes of discontinuation, outcomes of patients who discontinued acalabrutinib, and the genomic landscape are rare reported. In the analysis of 28 R/R MCL, after the median duration of treatment with acalabrutinib of 6.5 months, 15 patients discontinued the treatment (3/15 due to intolerance

of the drug, 12/15 due to progression) [64]. Compared to tumors at baseline, ATM was mutated at a higher frequency in samples at progression compared to baseline (67% vs 50%, respectively); mutation of CARD11, NLR5, and $\beta 2M$ were detected only at progression [64]. The non-BTK mutations may be associated with acalabrutinib resistance and disease progressions and there is an urgent need to further evaluation in a larger group of patients [64].

5. Regulatory affairs

Acalabrutinib (Calaquence) got the accelerated FDA approval in October 2017, based on the positive results of ACE-LY-004 study [47], as a single agent for relapsed or refractory MCL. FDA required to conduct a study to characterize the long-term safety of acalabrutinib monotherapy. An additional trial addressing the question of the appropriate dose and drug pharmacokinetics in patients with severe hepatic impairment is also planned. Additionally, Acerta Pharma B.V. will have to submit interim and complete final reports showing long-term safety with a minimum of 24 months of follow-up from study ACE-LY-004 in patients with mantle cell lymphoma.

EMA chose not to register acalabrutinib based on the clinical data gathered until now.

6. Conclusions

Acalabrutinib has a response rate in R/R MCL of 80%, with half of that percentage being CRs. The median duration of response has not yet been achieved. Acalabrutinib, being a highly selective BTK inhibitor, has a favorable toxicity profile compared to ibrutinib, with literally no cardiac toxicity or atrial fibrillation reported in clinical trials so far [47]. It is an attractive alternative for all R/R MCL patients and a necessity for those where ibrutinib is discontinued due to adverse reactions. Overcoming the BTK inhibitor resistance, due to, i.e. Cys481 mutations, remains the future challenge, which should be addressed by the second generation of BTK inhibitors.

7. Expert commentary

Despite the improvement in the results of survival rates MCL, relapsed/refractory disease remains a challenge. BTK inhibitors are the current standard of care. The majority of patients with MCL are elderly; therefore, intensive treatment in R/R disease, including RIC allo-SCT consolidation is rarely an option. Ibrutinib side effects due to off-target kinase inhibition led to the development of the second generation, more selective BTK inhibitors, to improve safety and tolerability. Preliminary acalabrutinib clinical data, its favorable pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic profile and decreased discontinuation rates, allow speculating on increased efficiency of more selective BTK inhibitors. Acalabrutinib allows treating patients, where ibrutinib had to be discontinued due to its adverse effects. Particularly promising is the reduced prevalence of AF and bleeding episodes, important in older patients with preexisting cardiovascular comorbidities. Combinations of acalabrutinib with other drugs in MCL, to further increase its efficacy and overcome emerging resistance is being investigated.

8. Five-year view

The eventual role of BTK inhibitors in MCL therapy is not yet established. Although results of several important clinical trials should be announced in the next 2–3 years, it may not change the current standard of care. The question about bringing BTK inhibitors into the first line setting may remain unanswered. Even if the 'SHINE' trial (NCT01776840) is positive, proving PFS benefit of elderly patients treated with BR + ibrutinib, it may not change the routine clinical practice. The lack of effective regimens in patients developing resistance to BTK inhibitors may mean that only prolonging OS would be regarded important.

Exceptionally good results of elderly patients treated at MDACC with chemotherapy-free regimen (rituximab – ibrutinib), with 100% RR, raise the question of its role in the first line setting. In United Kingdom the randomized comparison of rituximab-CHEMO (bendamustine or CHOP) with rituximab-ibrutinib is ongoing. The role of ASCT is challenged in EMCLN 'Triangle' trial, performed in younger, fit patients.

None of the BTK inhibitor-containing regimens proved to be curative in MCL so far. Combination with venetoclax, a drug inhibiting bcl-2 is currently compared to ibrutinib monotherapy, in a randomized, phase 3 protocol (NCT03112174).

Introducing BTK inhibitors in MCL therapy changed the standard of care and prolonged OS. Resistance to chemotherapy develops relatively early in the disease course, making it a true unmet medical need. It is a fascinating, dynamically evolving area of research.

Key issues

- Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is an aggressive B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) and the patients have a poor prognosis. Although advances in upfront aggressive therapy have improved overall survival (OS), particularly in younger patients, managing relapsed/refractory (R/R) cases remains a great challenge.
- Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitors have broadened therapeutic options in MCL and became the backbone of second-line strategies.
- Ibrutinib – the first-in-class, irreversible, orally bioavailable small-molecule BTK inhibitor – showed high efficacy in relapsed/refractory (R/R) MCL. In addition to BTK inhibition, ibrutinib targets several other kinases, which may contribute to some of its reported toxicities. To limit off-target kinase inhibition with side effects such as atrial fibrillation, bleeding, rashes, diarrhea, nausea, arthralgia, myalgia, pneumonitis, and subdural hematoma and improve the safety profile, new, second-generation BTK inhibitors are being investigated.
- The best-known selective BTK inhibitor is acalabrutinib (ACP-196), a novel molecule, dosed orally 100 mg twice per day, about 12 hours apart, designed to be more potent and selective than ibrutinib and minimize off-target activity and adverse events. Cardiotoxicity, including episodes of atrial fibrillation, are very rarely reported.
- The results of trials with acalabrutinib suggest potential superiority of acalabrutinib versus ibrutinib in a safety profile, as for efficacy no head-to-head comparison data are yet

available, however, the ACE-CL-006 study in R/R CLL patients, completed recruitment in 2017.

- Acalabrutinib got the accelerated FDA approval in October 2017, based on the positive results of ACE-LY-004 study, as a single agent for relapsed or refractory MCL.

Funding

This paper was not funded.

Declaration of interest

W Jurczak has stated the following disclosures: Roche, Servier, Acerta Pharma, Takeda, Sandoz, Celgene, Janssen. M Długosz-Danecka states the following disclosures: Roche, Servier, Janssen, and Takeda. M Wang has stated the following disclosures: Celgene, Acerta Pharma, Juno Therapeutics, Beigene, Onxy, Kite Pharma, Asana Biosciences, Janssen and Proteolix. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

Reviewer disclosures

Peer reviewers on this manuscript have no relevant financial or other relationships to disclose.

Acerta Pharma (a member of the AstraZeneca Group) provided a scientific accuracy review at the request of the journal editor.

ORCID

Monika Długosz-Danecka  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8927-4125>

References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as either of interest (*) or of considerable interest (***) to readers.

1. Dreyling M, Campo E, Hermine O, et al. Newly diagnosed and relapsed mantle cell lymphoma: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* 2017;28 (suppl_4):iv62–iv71.
- **Current European guidelines in MCL**
2. Lenz G, Dreyling M, Hoster E, et al. Immunochemotherapy with rituximab and cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone significantly improves response and time to treatment failure, but not long-term outcome in patients with previously untreated mantle cell lymphoma: results of a prospective randomized trial of the German low grade lymphoma study group (GLSG). *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23(9):1984–1992.
3. Kluin-Nelemans HC, Hoster E, Hermine O, et al. Treatment of older patients with mantle-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367 (6):520–531.
4. Rummel MJ, Niederle N, Maschmeyer G, et al. Bendamustine plus rituximab versus CHOP plus rituximab as first-line treatment for patients with indolent and mantle-cell lymphomas: an open-label, multicentre, randomised, phase 3 non-inferiority trial. *Lancet.* 2013;381(9873):1203–1210.
5. Dreyling M, Lenz G, Hoster E, et al. Early consolidation by myeloablative radiochemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation in first remission significantly prolongs progression-free survival in mantle-cell lymphoma: results of a prospective randomized trial of the European MCL network. *Blood.* 2005;105 (7):2677–2684.
6. Hermine O, Hoster E, Walewski J, et al. Addition of high-dose cytarabine to immunochemotherapy before autologous stem-cell transplantation in patients aged 65 years or younger with mantle

- cell lymphoma (MCL younger): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial of the European mantle cell lymphoma network. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10044):565–575.
7. Geisler CH, Kolstad A, Laurell A, et al. Long-term progression-free survival of mantle cell lymphoma after intensive front-line immunochemotherapy with in vivo-purged stem cell rescue: a nonrandomized phase 2 multicenter study by the nordic lymphoma group. *Blood*. 2008;112(7):2687–2693.
 8. Geisler CH, Kolstad A, Laurell A, et al. Nordic MCL2 trial update: six-year follow-up after intensive immunochemotherapy for untreated mantle cell lymphoma followed by BEAM or BEAC + autologous stem-cell support: still very long survival but late relapses do occur. *Br J Haematol*. 2012;158(3):355–362.
 9. Romaguera JE, Fayad LE, Feng L, et al. Ten-year follow-up after intense chemoimmunotherapy with Rituximab-HyperCVAD alternating with Rituximab-high dose methotrexate/cytarabine (R-MA) and without stem cell transplantation in patients with untreated aggressive mantle cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol*. 2010;150(2):200–208.
 10. Le Gouill S, Thieblemont C, Oberic L, et al. Rituximab after autologous stem-cell transplantation in mantle-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(13):1250–1260.
 11. Fisher RI, Bernstein SH, Kahl BS, et al. Multicenter phase II study of bortezomib in patients with relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(30):4867–4874.
 12. Hess G, Herbrecht R, Romaguera J, et al. Phase III study to evaluate temsirolimus compared with investigator's choice therapy for the treatment of relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(23):3822–3829.
 13. Goy A, Kalayoglu Besisik S, Drach J, et al. Longer-term follow-up and outcome by tumour cell proliferation rate (Ki-67) in patients with relapsed/refractory mantle cell lymphoma treated with lenalidomide on MCL-001(EMERGE) pivotal trial. *Br J Haematol*. 2015;170(4):496–503.
 14. Trneny M, Lamy T, Walewski J, et al. Lenalidomide versus investigator's choice in relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma (MCL-002; SPRINT): a phase 2, randomised, multicentre trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2016;17(3):319–331.
 15. Chiorazzi N, Ferrarini M. B cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia: lessons learned from studies of the B cell antigen receptor. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2003;21:841–894.
 16. Lenz G, Staudt LM. Aggressive lymphomas. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(15):1417–1429.
 17. Smith CI, Baskin B, Humire-Greiff P, et al. Expression of Bruton's agammaglobulinemia tyrosine kinase gene, BTK, is selectively down-regulated in T lymphocytes and plasma cells. *J Immunol*. 1994;152(2):557–565.
 18. Ponader S, Burger JA. Bruton's tyrosine kinase: from X-linked agammaglobulinemia toward targeted therapy for B-cell malignancies. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(17):1830–1839.
 19. Honigberg LA, Smith AM, Sirisawad M, et al. The Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor PCI-32765 blocks B-cell activation and is efficacious in models of autoimmune disease and B-cell malignancy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107(29):13075–13080.
 20. Advani RH, Buggy JJ, Sharman JP, et al. Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor ibrutinib (PCI-32765) has significant activity in patients with relapsed/refractory B-cell malignancies. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(1):88–94.
 21. Byrd JC, Harrington B, O'Brien S, et al. Acalabrutinib (ACP-196) in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(4):323–332.
 - **Registration trail of acalabrutinib in CLL.**
 22. Byrd JC, Furman RR, Coutre SE, et al. Targeting BTK with ibrutinib in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(1):32–42.
 - **First clinical evidence of BTK efficacy in a large clinical trial.**
 23. Byrd JC, Brown JR, O'Brien S, et al. Ibrutinib versus ofatumumab in previously treated chronic lymphoid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371(3):213–223.
 24. Shanafelt TD, Parikh SA, Nosenworthy PA, et al. Atrial fibrillation in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2017;58(7):1630–1639.
 25. Thompson PA, Levy V, Tam CS, et al. Atrial fibrillation in CLL patients treated with ibrutinib. An international retrospective study. *Br J Haematol*. 2016;175(3):462–466.
 26. Wu J, Zhang M, Liu D. Acalabrutinib (ACP-196): a selective second-generation BTK inhibitor. *J Hematol Oncol*. 2016;9:21.
 27. Wu J, Liu C, Tsui ST, et al. Second-generation inhibitors of Bruton tyrosine kinase. *J Hematol Oncol*. 2016;9(1):80.
 28. Li N, Sun Z, Liu Y, et al. Abstract 2597: BGB-3111 is a novel and highly selective Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitor. *Cancer Res*. 2015;75(15 Supplement):2597.
 29. Tam C, Grigg AP, Opat S, et al. The BTK inhibitor, Bgb-3111, Is Safe, tolerable, and highly active in patients with relapsed/refractory B-cell malignancies: initial report of a Phase 1 first-in-human trial. *Blood*. 2015;126(23):832.
 30. Walter HS, Rule SA, Dyer MJ, et al. A phase 1 clinical trial of the selective BTK inhibitor ONO/GS-4059 in relapsed and refractory mature B-cell malignancies. *Blood*. 2016;127(4):411–419.
 31. Binnerts ME, Otipoby KL, Hopkins BT, et al. Abstract C186: SNS-062 is a potent noncovalent BTK inhibitor with comparable activity against wild type BTK and BTK with an acquired resistance mutation. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2015;14(12 Supplement 2):C186.
 32. Evans EK, Tester R, Aslanian S, et al. Inhibition of Btk with CC-292 provides early pharmacodynamic assessment of activity in mice and humans. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2013;346(2):219–228.
 33. Brown JR, Harb WA, Hill BT, et al. Phase I study of single-agent CC-292, a highly selective Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in relapsed/refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2016;101(7):e295–298.
 34. Lee-Verges E, Hanna BS, Yazdanparast H, et al. Selective BTK inhibition improves bendamustine therapy response and normalizes immune effector functions in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Int J Cancer*. 2018 Nov 23. doi: 10.1002/ijc.32010. [Epub ahead of print]
 35. Reiff SD, Mantel R, Smith LL, et al. The Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitor ARQ 531 effectively inhibits wild type and C481S mutant BTK and is superior to ibrutinib in a mouse model of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 2016;128(22):3232.
 36. Jurczak W, Rule S, Townsend W, et al. First in human, phase I/II trial of the Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor (BTKi) M7583 in patients with B cell malignancies: study design and initial outcomes. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2017;130(Suppl1):2778.
 37. Barf T, Covey T, Izumi R, et al. Acalabrutinib (ACP-196): a covalent Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor with a differentiated selectivity and in vivo potency profile. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2017;363(2):240–252.
 38. Herman SEM, Montraveta A, Niemann CU, et al. The Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitor acalabrutinib demonstrates potent on-target effects and efficacy in two mouse models of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2017;23(11):2831–2841.
 39. Rule S, Chen RW. New and emerging Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors for treating mantle cell lymphoma - where do they fit in? *Expert Rev Hematol*. 2018;11(9):749–756.
 - **Overview of the market.**
 40. Patel V, Balakrishnan K, Bibikova E, et al. Comparison of acalabrutinib, a selective bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor, with ibrutinib in chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2017;23(14):3734–3743.
 41. Gardner HL, Harrington BK, Izumi R, et al. Abstract 1744: ACP-196: A second generation Btk inhibitor demonstrates biologic activity in a canine model of B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Cancer Res*. 2014;74(19 Supplement):1744.
 42. Niemann CU, Montraveta A, Herman SEM, et al. Abstract 2624: the novel Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor ACP-196 shows in vivo efficacy against human chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells xenografted to the NSG mouse model. *Cancer Res*. 2014;74(19 Supplement):2624.
 43. de Jong J, Sukbuntherng J, Skee D, et al. The effect of food on the pharmacokinetics of oral ibrutinib in healthy participants and

- patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* **2015**;75(5):907–916.
44. Covey T, Gulrajani M, Cheung J, et al. Pharmacodynamic evaluation of acalabrutinib in relapsed/refractory and treatment-naïve patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) in the phase 1/2 ACE-CL-001 study. *Blood.* **2017**;130:1741(abstract).
 45. Byrd JC, Wierda WG, Schuh A et al. Acalabrutinib monotherapy in patients with relapsed/refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia: updated results from the phase 1/2 ACE-CL-001 study. Oral abstract #498: ASH 59th Annual Meeting and Exposition, Atlanta (GA). *Blood (abstract book)*130:498; **2017**.
 46. Wang ML, Rule S, Martin P, et al. Targeting BTK with ibrutinib in relapsed or refractory mantle-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med.* **2013**;369(6):507–516.
- **Registration trial of ibrutinib in MCL**
47. Wang M, Rule S, Zinzani PL, et al. Acalabrutinib in relapsed or refractory mantle cell lymphoma (ACE-LY-004): a single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet.* **2018**;391(10121):659–667.
- **Registration trial of acalabrutinib in MCL**
48. Cheson BD, Pfistner B, Juweid ME, et al. Revised response criteria for malignant lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* **2007**;25(5):579–586.
 49. Cheson BD, Fisher RI, Barrington SF, et al. Recommendations for initial evaluation, staging, and response assessment of Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphoma: the Lugano classification. *J Clin Oncol.* **2014**;32(27):3059–3068.
 50. Wang ML, Blum KA, Martin P, et al. Long-term follow-up of MCL patients treated with single-agent ibrutinib: updated safety and efficacy results. *Blood.* **2015**;126(6):739–745.
 51. Martin P, Maddocks K, Leonard JP, et al. Postibrutinib outcomes in patients with mantle cell lymphoma. *Blood.* **2016**;127(12):1559–1563.
 52. Niemann CU, Mora-Jensen HI, Dadashian EL, et al. Combined BTK and PI3Kdelta inhibition with acalabrutinib and ACP-319 improves survival and tumor control in CLL mouse model. *Clin Cancer Res.* **2017**;23(19):5814–5823.
 53. Gaudio E, Tarantelli C, Spriano F, et al. The novel BTK and PI3K-delta inhibitors acalabrutinib (ACP-196) and ACP-319 show activity in pre-clinical B-cell lymphoma models. *Eur J Cancer.* **2016**;69:S39–S40.
 54. Deng J, Isik E, Fernandes SM, et al. Bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibition increases BCL-2 dependence and enhances sensitivity to venetoclax in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia.* **2017**;31(10):2075–2084.
 55. Overman MJ, Lopez CD, Benson AB, et al. A randomized phase 2 study of the Bruton tyrosine kinase (Btk) inhibitor acalabrutinib alone or with pembrolizumab for metastatic pancreatic cancer (mPC). *J Clin Oncol.* **2016**;34(15_suppl):4130.
 56. Tam CS, Anderson MA, Pott C, et al. Ibrutinib plus venetoclax for the treatment of mantle-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med.* **2018**;378(13):1211–1223.
 57. Dreyling M, Jurczak W, Jerkeman M, et al. Ibrutinib versus temsirolimus in patients with relapsed or refractory mantle-cell lymphoma: an international, randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. *Lancet.* **2016**;387(10020):770–778.
 58. Byrd JC, Jones JA, Furman RR, et al. Acalabrutinib, a second-generation Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitor, in previously untreated chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). *J clin oncol.* **2016**;34(15(suppl)):7521.
 59. Burger JA, Tedeschi A, Barr PM, et al. Ibrutinib as initial therapy for patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* **2015**;373(25):2425–2437.
 60. Farrukh TA, Schuh A, Brown JR, et al. Acalabrutinib monotherapy in patients with ibrutinib intolerance: results from the phase 1/2 ACE-CL-001 clinical Study. *Blood.* **2016**;128(22):638.
 61. Burger JA, Styles L, Kipps TJ. Ibrutinib for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* **2016**;374(16):1594–1595.
 62. O'Brien S, Hillmen P, Coutre S et al. Safety analysis of four randomized controlled studies of ibrutinib in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma or mantle cell lymphoma. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*, 18(10),648–657.e15 (**2018**).
 63. Mato AR, Nabhan C, Thompson MC, et al. Toxicities and outcomes of 616 ibrutinib-treated patients in the United States: a real-world analysis. *Haematologica.* **2018**;103(5):874–879.
 64. Jain P Outcomes, causes of discontinuation and mutation profile of patients with mantle cell lymphoma who progressed on acalabrutinib. ASH 60th Annual Meeting and Exposition, San Diego (CA). Abstract#4151; **2018**.